Renormalisation in Regularity Structures: Part II

Yvain Bruned Imperial College London

Cambridge, 26th October, 2018.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q C 1/22

The Geometric KPZ is the most natural stochastic evolution on loop space. The system of equations in local coordinates is given by

$$\partial_t u^{\alpha} = \partial_x^2 u^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}(u) \,\partial_x u^{\beta} \partial_x u^{\gamma} + \sigma^{\alpha}_i(u) \xi_i \,.$$

where

- the ξ_i are independent space-time white noises.
- the $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ are the Christoffel symbols.
- the σ_i are a collection of smooth vector fields on the manifold.

Main issues

- Give a meaning to a singular SPDE: ill-defined distributional products.
- Are there notions of solution that are covariant under changes of coordinates?
- Are there notions of solution that, in law, depend only on $g^{\alpha\beta}(u) = \sigma_i^{\alpha}(u)\sigma_i^{\beta}(u)$ rather than on the arbitrary choice of vector fields σ_i ?

Good algebraic structures are needed for answering these questions.

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x^2 u + (\partial_x u)^2 + \xi, \quad \partial_t v = \partial_x^2 v + \xi$$

Then $v = K * \xi \in C^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ and we look at u = v + w where $w \in C^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$.

<□ ▶ < ⓓ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ E ♡ Q ♡ 4/22

$$\begin{array}{c} \partial_{t} u = \partial_{x}^{2} u + (\partial_{x} u)^{2} + \xi, \quad \partial_{t} v = \partial_{x}^{2} v + \xi \\ \hline \mathbf{Decorated Trees } \mathcal{T} \\ \text{The with} \quad \xi = \mathbf{\Pi} \circ, \quad K * \xi = \mathbf{\Pi} \circ, \quad \partial_{x} K * \xi = \mathbf{\Pi} \circ \\ (\partial_{x} K * \xi)^{2} = \mathbf{\Pi} \circ , \quad K * ((\partial_{x} K * \xi)^{2}) = \mathbf{\Pi} \circ \\ \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \partial_{t} u = \partial_{-}^{2} u + (\partial_{-} u)^{2} + \xi, \quad \partial_{+} v = \partial_{-}^{2} v + \xi \\ \hline \mathbf{Degree \ deg \ on \ } \mathcal{T} \\ \text{without} \\ deg (\circ) = -\frac{3}{2} - \kappa, \quad deg (\circ) = \frac{1}{2} - \kappa, \quad deg (\circ) = -\frac{1}{2} - \kappa \\ deg (\circ v) = -1 - 2\kappa, \quad deg \left(\circ v\right) = 1 - 2\kappa \end{array} \right)^{\alpha}$$

<ロ>< 団> < 団> < 置> < 置> < 置> 差 の Q C 4/22

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x^2 u + (\partial_x u)^2 + \xi, \quad \partial_t v = \partial_x^2 v + \xi$$

Then $v = K * \xi \in C^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ and we look at u = v + w where $w \in C^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\partial_t w = \partial_x^2 w + \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{v} + 2 \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{v} (\partial_x w) + (\partial_x w)^2.$$

We go on

$$u = \mathbf{\Pi} \,\mathbb{S} + \mathbf{\Pi} \,\mathbb{Y} + \tilde{w}, \quad w = \mathbf{\Pi} \,\mathbb{Y} + \tilde{w}.$$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ の Q ↔ 4/22</p>

This expansion is not sufficient: the equation for the reminder needs a special treatment.

We put constraints of each nodes depending on the non-linearities. For example,

$$(\partial_{X}u)^{2} + \xi \longrightarrow T = \{\circ, X^{k}, X^{k} \stackrel{\tau_{1}}{\downarrow}, \bigvee^{\tau_{1}} : \tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in T, k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}\}$$
$$f(u)\xi \longrightarrow T = \{X^{k}\circ, X^{k} \stackrel{\tau_{1}}{\searrow} : \tau_{i} \in T, k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}\}.$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ の Q ^Q 5/22

We set $\tilde{T} = \{X^k, \downarrow^{\tau} : \tau \in T, k \in \mathbb{N}^2\}.$

We obtain a local expansion of the solution u_ε by recentering these monomials around a point x

$$u_{arepsilon}(y) = \sum_{ au \in ilde{\mathcal{T}}} (\Upsilon au) \left(u_{arepsilon}, \partial_x u_{arepsilon}
ight) \left(\Pi^{(arepsilon)}_x au) (y) + r(x,y).$$

For the KPZ equation, we have

$$u_{\varepsilon}(y) = u_{\varepsilon}(x) + (\Pi_{x}^{(\varepsilon)}(y)) + (\Pi_{x}^{(\varepsilon)}(y)) + r(x,y),$$

with

$$(\Pi_x^{(\varepsilon)}(y)) = (K * \xi_{\varepsilon})(y) - (K * \xi_{\varepsilon})(x), \quad \xi_{\varepsilon} = \varrho_{\varepsilon} * \xi.$$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

Two renormalisations

Positive renormalisation

- Recentering procedure
- Smooth model (Π_x, Γ_{xy}) , for every decorated tree τ , $(\Pi_x \tau)(y) \lesssim |y - x|^{\deg \tau}$.
- Structure group G, Γ_{xy} ∈ G (Hopf algebra).

Negative renormalisation

- Renormalisation group \mathcal{R} (Hopf algebra). Let $M : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}, M \in \mathcal{R}.$
- Action onto the model $(\Pi_x^M, \Gamma_{xy}^M).$
- Action onto the equation (Pre-Lie Structure).

Co-interaction

 $\Pi_x^M = \Pi_x M$, on some \mathcal{T}_{ex} , $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_{ex}$.

Expansion of $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ around 0:

$$arphi(y) - arphi(0) - y arphi'(0) = r(y), \quad |r(y)| \lesssim |y|^2.$$

Cut of one decorated edge:

$$\Delta^{\!+} \! \left[\!\!\! \begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ \end{array} \right] \! \left[\!\!\! \begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ \end{array} \right] \! \left[\!\!\! \begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ \end{array} \right] \!\!\! \left[\!\!\! \left[\!\!\! \begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ \end{array} \right] \!\!\! \left[\!\! \left[\!\!\! \left[\!\!\! \left[\!\!\! \left[\!\! \left[\!\! \left[\!\!\! \left[\!\!\! \left[\!\! \left[\! \left[\!\! \left[\! \left[\! \left[\! \left[\!\! \left[\! \left[\!$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ の Q @ 8/22

Take \mathcal{T} the linear span of the abstract polynomials $\{X^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, ($\bullet_k = X^k$). It is a Hopf algebra with $\mathbf{1} = X^0$ and:

• The multiplicative coproduct Δ^+ is given by

$$\Delta^{+}X = X \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes X, \quad \Delta^{+}X^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} X^{k} \otimes X^{n-k}$$

- Co-unit $\mathbf{1}^*$: $\mathbf{1}^*(X^k) = \mathbf{1}_{k=0}$.
- Antipode \mathcal{A} is given by $\mathcal{A}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$, $\mathcal{A}X = -X$.
- Structure group is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} : $\Gamma_g X^k = (X + g(X))^k$.

< ロ ト < 団 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の Q (P g/22)</p>

We are looking at the renormalised integral with the heat kernel and a mollifier ϱ_{ε} :

$$I_{\varepsilon}(y) = \int K(y-z)\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}(y-z) \left(\varphi(z) - \varphi(y) - (z-y)\varphi'(y)\right) dz,$$

where
$$arrho_arepsilon^{(2)}(y-z)=(arrho_arepsilon*arrho_arepsilon)(y-z)=\mathbb{E}\left(\xi_arepsilon(z)\xi_arepsilon(y)
ight).$$

Extraction of one subtree:



Notations



- \mathcal{T} linear span of decorated trees.
- \mathcal{T}_+ linear span of decorated trees with positive degree.
- \mathcal{T}_{-} linear span of negative decorated forests.

Co-actions



There are Taylor expansions on the blue edges.

Theorem (B., Hairer, Zambotti, 2016)

- The algebra T₊ endowed with the product * and the coproduct Δ⁺ is a Hopf algebra. Moreover Δ⁺ turns T into a right comodule over T₊.
- The algebra *T*_− endowed with the product · and the coproduct Δ[−] is a Hopf algebra. Moreover Δ[−] turns *T* into a left comodule over *T*_−.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ · の Q @ 13/22

3 They co-interact.

Co-interaction



< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 り < ○ 14/22

- Let consider a subforest $A = \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$
- 2 Admissible cuts $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ such that $E_A \cap E = \emptyset$.

Extraction then cuts



< □ > < @ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≧ の Q @ 15/22

Cuts then extraction



◆□ ▶ < 畳 ▶ < 量 ▶ < 量 ▶ ■ ⑦ < ♡ 16/22</p>

Extended structure $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{ex}}$

The same cut is performed in a different order:



•

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 り < ○ 17/22

The length of the Taylor expansion may differ.

Extended structure $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{ex}}$

The same cut is performed in a different order:



The length of the Taylor expansion may differ. We need to add an extra information: deg τ_1 and deg τ_2 .

Two groups

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_+ &:= \{ g \in \mathcal{T}^*_+ : g(\tau_1 \star \tau_2) = g(\tau_1)g(\tau_2), \ \forall \ \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_+ \} \\ \mathcal{G}_- &:= \{ \ell \in \mathcal{T}^*_- : \ell(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) = \ell(\tau_1)\ell(\tau_2), \ \forall \ \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_- \} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (B., Hairer, Zambotti, 2016)

For every $g \in \mathcal{G}_-$ the renormalised model is described by:

$$\Pi_z^{M_g} = \Pi_z M_g,$$

where $\Pi_z = (\Pi \otimes f_z)\Delta^+$ for some $f_z \in \mathcal{G}_+$ and $M_g = (g \otimes id)\Delta^-$.

Theorem (B., Chandra, Chevyrev, Hairer 2017)

There exist some constants $(c_{\varrho,\varepsilon}^{\tau})_{\tau \in T_{-}}$ such that the renormalised equation for u_{ε} is given by

$$\partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} = \partial_{x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(u_{\varepsilon}) \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}^{\gamma} + \sigma_{i}^{\alpha}(u_{\varepsilon}) \xi_{i}^{(\varepsilon)} \\ + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{-}} c_{\varrho,\varepsilon}^{\tau} \left(\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^{\alpha} \tau \right) (u_{\varepsilon}, \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}) .$$

A possible choice of these constants called BPHZ renormalisation is

$$c_{\varrho,\varepsilon}^{ au} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{\Pi}^{(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-} au)(0).$$

We consider the space of decorated trees as a 2-pre-Lie algebra with generators $\mathcal{G} = \{\cdot, \circ_i\}$ and the following two grafting operators:

$$\circ_1 \frown \checkmark = \stackrel{1}{\circ} + \stackrel{1}{\diamond}, \circ_1 \frown \checkmark = \stackrel{1}{\circ} + \stackrel{1}{\diamond}$$

- Derivation of the renormalised equation. First used in the framework of rough paths in [BCFP17] then extended to singular SPDEs in [BCCH17].
- Symmetry properties.

Computation of $\Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma}\tau_{\Gamma}$

We define $\tau \mapsto (\Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma}\tau)(x,v)$ as the unique 2-pre-Lie morphism satisfying:

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\circ_{i}) &= \sigma^{\alpha}_{i}, \quad \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\cdot) = \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} v_{\beta} v_{\gamma}, \\ \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_{1} \frown \tau_{2}) &= \Upsilon^{\beta}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_{1}) \frac{d}{dx_{\beta}} \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_{2}), \\ \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_{1} \frown \tau_{2}) &= \Upsilon^{\beta}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_{1}) \frac{d}{dv_{\beta}} \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_{2}). \end{split}$$

Some examples of coefficients:

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{j}_{o} \\ {}^{j}_{o} \end{pmatrix} &= \sigma^{\beta}_{j} \partial_{\beta} \sigma^{\alpha}_{i}, \qquad \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{k}_{o} {}^{j}_{o} \\ {}^{j}_{o} \end{pmatrix} &= \sigma^{\gamma}_{k} \sigma^{\beta}_{j} \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \sigma^{\alpha}_{i}, \\ \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{\ell}_{o} {}^{j}_{o} \\ {}^{i}_{o} \nabla^{\alpha}_{o} \end{pmatrix} &= 2\sigma^{\eta}_{k} \partial_{\eta} \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \sigma^{\beta}_{j} \sigma^{\mu}_{\ell} \partial_{\mu} \sigma^{\gamma}_{i}. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ■▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ● ■ ⑦ Q @ 21/22

Symmetry Properties

- Space \mathcal{T}_{geo} : $\varphi \cdot (\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma} \tau) = \Upsilon_{\varphi \cdot \Gamma, \varphi \cdot \sigma} \tau$.
- Space $\mathcal{T}_{It\hat{o}}$: $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}\tau = \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\bar{\sigma}}\tau$.
- Space $\mathcal{T}_{\text{both}}$: $\varphi \cdot (\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma} \tau \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\bar{\sigma}} \tau) = \Upsilon_{\varphi \cdot \Gamma,\varphi \cdot \sigma} \tau \Upsilon_{\varphi \cdot \Gamma,\varphi \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \tau$.

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り Q @ 22/22

The spaces $\mathcal{T}_{\rm geo}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\rm Itô}$ can be characterised as kernels of "deformed" 2-pre-Lie infinitesimal morphisms.

Proposition (B., Gabriel, Hairer, Zambotti 2018+) One has $\mathcal{T}_{both} = \mathcal{T}_{geo} + \mathcal{T}_{It\delta}$.